

Mosquito larvicidal potential of four common medicinal plants of India

Anjali Rawani, Anupam Ghosh* & Goutam Chandra

Department of Zoology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan & *Department of Zoology, Bankura Christian College, Bankura, India

Received September 25, 2012

Background & objectives: Mosquitoes transmit serious human health diseases, causing millions of deaths every year. Plants may be sources of alternative mosquito control agents. The present study was carried out to assess the role of larvicidal activities of the crude extracts of four plants viz. *Alternanthera sessilis* L. (Amaranthaceae), *Trema orientalis* L. (Cannabaceae), *Gardenia carinata* Smith. (Rubiaceae) and *Ruellia tuberosa* L. (Acanthaceae) against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say in laboratory bioassay.

Methods: Selective concentrations (0.5, 1 and 1.5%) of crude extract of all four plant leaves were tested against Ist to IVth instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. Log probit analysis (at 95% confidence level) revealed the LC₅₀ values. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical analyses of crude extracts were also done. The lethal concentrations (%) of crude extracts at 24 h against IIIrd instar larvae were also studied on non-target organisms.

Result: In a 72 h bioassay experiment with crude extract, the highest mortality was recorded in 1.5 per cent extract. *A. sessilis* showed the highest mortality (76.7 %) at 1.5 per cent crude extract against IInd instar larvae having LC₅₀ value of 0.35 per cent, followed by *R. tuberosa* (LC₅₀ = 1.84%), *G. carinata* (LC₅₀ = 2.11) and *T. orientalis* (LC₅₀ = 2.95%). The regression equation showed a dose-dependent mortality, as the rate of mortality (Y) was positively correlated with the concentration (X). Phytochemical analysis of the crude extract showed the presence of many bioactive phytochemicals such as steroids, alkaloids, terpenes, saponins, etc. No changes in the swimming behaviour and survivality of non-target organism were noticed at the studied concentrations.

Interpretation & conclusions: Crude extract of the four selected plants showed larvicidal activity against *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. The extracts at the studied concentrations did not produce any harmful effect on non-target organisms.

Key words *Culex quinquefasciatus* - larvicidal activity - non-target organisms - phytochemical analysis

Vector control is an essential requirement in control of epidemic diseases such as malaria, filariasis, dengue, *etc.* that are transmitted by mosquitoes. Excessive use of synthetic pesticides causes emergence of pesticide resistance and harmful effect on non-target organisms. This has necessitated an urgent search for development of new and improved mosquito control methods that are economical and effective as well as safe for non-target organisms and the environment. Herbal insecticides of plant origin become a priority in this search. Several laboratory and field based studies have already been carried out in this area and some potentially active larvicides of plant origin like octacosane, falcarinol, geranial, azadirachtin, pipernonaline plumbagin, β -sitosterol, *etc.* have been isolated so far¹.

The objective of present study was to evaluate the larvicidal activity of the crude extracts of four plants *viz.* *Alternanthera sessilis* L. (Amaranthaceae), *Trema orientalis* L. (Cannabaceae), *Gardenia carinata* Smith. (Rubiaceae) and *Ruellia tuberosa* L. (Acanthaceae) on *Culex quinquefasciatus* as target species. Their medicinal properties are presented in Table I²⁻⁸.

Qualitative analysis of the crude plant extracts was also done to have an idea about the chemical profile of active ingredient (s).

Material & Methods

The present study was conducted in the department of Zoology, University of Burdwan, at Burdwan, West Bengal, India during April-June 2011. Mosquito larvae available in the cemented drains surrounding the University campus were collected by 250 ml dipper⁹. Majority of the larvae were of the species *Cx. quinquefasciatus* and a few were *Armigeres subalbatus*. Larvae were reared to adult stage and identified¹⁰⁻¹². Collected larvae were kept in the plastic bucket (20 l) with the addition of artificial food (powdered mixture of dog biscuits and dried yeast powder in the ratio of 3:1), and aquatic weeds kept free from exposure to pathogens, insecticides, or repellants and maintained at 31-33°C temperature and 85 per cent relative humidity (RH). Some of the previously identified larvae were also reared upto the adult stages to confirm their species identity.

Table I. Botanical description and medicinal properties of plants used in the study

Plants	Common name	Systematic position (Order: Family)	Botanical description	Medicinal properties
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	Sanchi	Caryophyllales: Amaranthaceae	It is an annual or perennial herb. The branches are raised from the root and are up to 50 cm long.	The plant is a good source of the Ayurvedic drug. The leaves are used in eye diseases; in cuts and wounds; antidote to snake bite and scorpion sting ² ; in skin diseases and in indigestion ³ .
<i>Ruellia tuberosa</i>	Minnie root, Fever root	Lamiales: Acanthaceae	It is a small biennial plant with thick fusiform tuberous roots and striking funnel-shaped violet-coloured flowers. It reaches an average height of about 25 cm in moist and shady environment.	This plant has antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties ⁴ . In folk medicine and Ayurvedic medicine, it has been used as a diuretic, anti-diabetic, antipyretic, analgesic, antihypertensive, gastroprotective, and to treat gonorrhoea ⁵ .
<i>Trema orientalis</i>	Chikan, Jiban	Rosales: Cannabaceae	Trees may be evergreen or deciduous. In forests it is a straight, slender tree, up to 18 m on forest margins, and in the open it is wide-spreading, sometimes drooping, and in dry areas it often grows as a shrub.	An aqueous extract from the bark has been shown to reduce blood sugar levels in an experimental animal model of diabetes mellitus ⁶ . Extracts from leaves showed anti-inflammatory, anti-arthritis and analgesic activity ⁷ .
<i>Gardenia carinata</i>	Tree Gardenia	Gentianales: Rubiaceae	These are evergreen shrubs and small trees, growing upto 1–15 m. The leaves are opposite or in whorls of three or four, broad, dark green and glossy with a leathery texture.	The bloom is used as a yellow dye and used for clothes and food. Its fruits are also used in traditional Chinese medicine for their clearing, calming, and cooling properties ⁸ .

Table II. Results of larvicidal bioassay of the tested plants against *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larva

Instars	Concentrations (%)	Mean mortality (%) of different plant extracts at different hours											
		<i>A. sessilis</i>			<i>R. tuberosa</i>			<i>T. orientalis</i>			<i>G. carinata</i>		
		24	48	72	24	48	72	24	48	72	24	48	72
I st	0.5	16.7 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.58	23.3 ± 0.33	10.0 ± 0.58	13.3 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.58	23.3 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.00	13.3 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.00	26.7 ± 0.33
		26.7 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.00	33.3 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.58	23.3 ± 0.33	33.3 ± 0.33	36.7 ± 0.33	43.3 ± 0.33	26.7 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.58	36.7 ± 0.33
	1.5	36.7 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.58*	43.3 ± 0.33	23.3 ± 0.33*	30.0 ± 0.58*	33.3 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.00*	43.3 ± 0.33*	46.7 ± 0.33*	30.0 ± 0.58*	36.70 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.00*
		0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.66 ± 0.33	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.00 ± 0.33	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.33 ± 0.33	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.00 ± 0.00
II nd	0.5	33.3 ± 0.33	36.7 ± 0.33	43.3 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.58	26.7 ± 0.58	30.0 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	26.7 ± 0.33	33.3 ± 0.33	13.3 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.00
		46.7 ± 0.67	53.3 ± 0.33	63.3 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	26.7 ± 0.33	33.3 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.58	33.3 ± 0.33	40.0 ± 0.58	20.0 ± 0.58	23.3 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.58
	1.5	63.3 ± 0.33*	73.3 ± 0.33*	76.7 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.58*	43.3 ± 0.58*	50.0 ± 0.33*	36.7 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.00*	40.3 ± 0.33*	30.0 ± 0.58*	40.0 ± 0.58*	43.3 ± 0.88*
		0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.33 ± 0.33	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.66 ± 0.33	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	2.33 ± 0.33
III rd	0.5	20.0 ± 0.00	23.3 ± 0.33	33.3 ± 0.33	26.7 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.00	33.3 ± 0.33	36.7 ± 0.33	40.0 ± 0.58	43.3 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.58	33.3 ± 0.33	36.7 ± 0.67
		30.0 ± 0.58	40.0 ± 0.58	46.7 ± 0.33	36.7 ± 0.33	40.0 ± 0.58	43.3 ± 0.33	46.7 ± 0.67	50.0 ± 0.58	53.3 ± 0.67	26.7 ± 0.67	36.7 ± 0.33	43.3 ± 0.33
	1.5	43.3 ± 0.33*	50.0 ± 0.00*	53.3 ± 0.33*	36.7 ± 0.33*	46 ± 0.67*	50.0 ± 0.58*	46.7 ± 0.33*	46.7 ± 0.33*	50.0 ± 0.00*	33.3 ± 0.67*	43.3 ± 0.33*	50.0 ± 0.00*
		0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
IV th	0.5	13.3 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.00	16.7 ± 0.67	20.0 ± 0.58	23.3 ± 0.33	16.70 ± 0.33	20.0 ± 0.00	23.3 ± 0.33	6.7 ± 0.33	16.7 ± 0.33	23.3 ± 0.33
		23.3 ± 0.33	26.7 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.00	20.0 ± 0.58	26.7 ± 0.33	30.0 ± 0.00	33.30 ± 0.33	33.30 ± 0.33	33.3 ± 0.33	13.3 ± 0.33	26.7 ± 0.33	36.7 ± 0.33
	1.5	33.3 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.00*	46.7 ± 0.67*	23.3 ± 0.33*	33.3 ± 0.33*	36.7 ± 0.33*	36.70 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.58*	46.7 ± 0.88*	26.7 ± 0.88*	33.3 ± 0.33*	40.0 ± 0.58*
		0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00

*P<0.05

Table III. LC₅₀ values and corresponding regression equations of four plant leaf extracts against different instars of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*

Instars	Period (h)	Biopesticidal activity of plant extracts							
		<i>A. sessilis</i>		<i>R. tuberosa</i>		<i>T. orientalis</i>		<i>G. carinata</i>	
		LC ₅₀ (%)	Regression equation	LC ₅₀ (%)	Regression equation	LC ₅₀ (%)	Regression equation	LC ₅₀ (%)	Regression equation
I st	24	1.35	Y= 3.94x + 0.56	6.54	Y= 1.33x + 0.33	2.33	Y= 2.00x + 1.11	3.64	Y= 1.66x + 0.66
	48	1.22	Y= 3.94x + 0.89	4.33	Y= 1.67x + 0.44	2.02	Y= 2.00x + 1.44	3.16	Y= 1.66x + 1.22
	72	1.07	Y=3.94x + 1.22	3.96	Y=1.67x + 0.77	1.70	Y=2.00x + 12.25	2.96	Y= 1.33x + 2.11
II nd	24	0.53	Y= 5.99x + 1.59	3.52	Y= 2.00x + 0.55	2.63	Y= 2.00x + 0.78	4.33	Y= 1.66x + 0.44
	48	0.44	Y= 7.26x + 1.56	3.09	Y= 2.00x + 1.17	3.09	Y= 1.33x + 2.00	2.51	Y= 2.33x + 0.33
	72	0.35	Y= 6.50x + 2.64	1.84	Y= 2.00x + 1.77	2.95	Y= 1.00x + 2.88	2.11	Y= 2.33x + 0.77
III rd	24	1.03	Y= 4.64x + 0.63	4.52	Y= 1.00x + 2.33	1.79	Y= 1.00x + 3.33	4.07	Y= 0.33x + 2.66
	48	0.77	Y= 5.18x + 1.01	1.86	Y= 1.67x + 2.22	1.71	Y= 0.67x + 3.88	3.57	Y= 1.00x + 2.71
	72	0.64	Y= 3.85x + 2.38	1.51	Y= 1.67x + 2.55	1.06	Y= 0.67x + 4.21	1.47	Y= 1.33x + 3.00
IV th	24	1.47	Y= 3.94x + 0.22	6.27	Y= 1.00x + 1.22	3.05	Y= 2.00x + 0.55	3.45	Y= 2.00x + 0.44
	48	1.14	Y= 4.64x + 0.30	5.01	Y= 1.33x + 1.33	2.33	Y= 2.00x + 1.11	3.60	Y=1.66x + 0.88
	72	0.91	Y=5.35x +0.36	4.18	Y=1.34x +1.77	1.65	Y=2.34x +1.32	2.45	Y= 1.67x +1.66

Preparation of crude extract: Fresh, mature, green leaves of all the selected plants were collected during April – June, 2011, from plants growing on the outskirts of Burdwan and authenticated by Dr Ambarish Mukherjee, Professor in Botany, University of Burdwan, West Bengal, India. After collection, all the leaves were initially rinsed with distilled water and dried on paper towel. Wet weight quantities (50 g) of plant leaves were crushed with a mixer-grinder machine, and the juice was filtered by Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The clear filtrate was used as a stock solution (100% concentration of crude extract) for bioassays experiments. Required concentrations (0.5, 1 and 1.5%) were prepared using sterilized distilled water.

Dose-response larvicidal bioassay: The larvicidal bioassay was done following the World Health Organization¹³ standard protocols with suitable modifications. Each of the earlier prepared concentrations of crude extract was transferred into the sterile glass Petri-dishes (9 cm diameter/150 ml capacity). The first-, second-, third-, and fourth-instar larval forms of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* (20 each) were separately introduced into different Petri-dishes containing appropriate concentrations, 20 mg of larval food (dried yeast powder) was added per Petri dish. Mortality rates were recorded after 24, 48, and 72 h post-exposure. The experiments were replicated thrice

at three different days and conducted at 25-30°C and 80-90 per cent RH. A set of control experiment (without having the test solution) using tap water was also run parallel.

Phytochemical analysis of the plant extracts: Crude extracts of all four plants were subjected to qualitative phytochemical analysis¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The phytochemicals analysed were saponins, terpenoids, alkaloid, steroids, tannin, flavonoids and cardiac glycosides.

Effect on non-target organisms: The crude extracts of all the plant leaves were tested against two non-target invertebrates, *Diplonychus annulatum* (5th instar larval forms) and *Chironomus circumdatus* present in the same habitat of the mosquito larvae. As per the procedure used by Suwannee *et al*¹⁷, the non-targets were exposed to the sub lethal dose, LC₅₀ (at 24 h for 3rd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*) of the crude extract. Ten early 4th instar chironomid larvae were placed in a plastic tray containing 200 ml pond water with mud. Ten 3rd instar nymph of *D. annulatum* were kept in pond water in a plastic tray (12.6 × 10 × 6 inches). Numbers of dead were recorded after 24 h, 48 h and 72 h of exposure and percentage mortality was recorded. Each experiment was replicated thrice. A set of control (without having the test solution) for each organism was run parallel.

Statistical analysis: The percentage mortality observed was corrected by Abbott's formula¹⁸. Statistical

Table IV. Mean percentage mortality of 1st -4th instars of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larvae at different concentrations of tested plants

Plants	Instars	Concentrations (%)	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower bound	Upper bound
<i>A. sessilis</i>	I	0.5	16.67	0.45	0.76	2.56
		1	26.67	0.45	1.76	3.56
		1.5	36.67	0.45	2.76	4.56
	II	0.5	33.33	0.45	2.43	4.23
		1	46.67	0.45	3.76	5.56
		1.5	63.33	0.45	5.43	7.23
	III	0.5	20	0.45	1.09	2.9
		1	30	0.45	2.09	3.9
		1.5	43.33	0.45	3.43	5.23
	IV	0.5	13.33	0.45	0.43	2.23
		1	23.33	0.45	1.43	3.23
		1.5	33.33	0.45	2.43	4.23
<i>R. tuberosa</i>	I	0.5	10	0.45	0.09	1.9
		1	16.67	0.45	0.76	2.56
		1.5	23.33	0.45	1.43	3.23
	II	0.5	20	0.45	1.09	2.9
		1	16.67	0.45	0.76	2.56
		1.5	40	0.45	3.09	4.9
	III	0.5	26.67	0.45	1.76	3.56
		1	36.67	0.45	2.76	4.56
		1.5	36.67	0.45	2.76	4.56
	IV	0.5	16.67	0.45	0.76	2.56
		1	20	0.45	1.09	2.9
		1.5	23.33	0.45	1.43	3.23
<i>T. orientalis</i>	I	0.5	20	0.45	1.09	2.9
		1	33.33	0.45	2.43	4.23
		1.5	40	0.45	3.09	4.9
	II	0.5	16.67	0.45	0.76	2.56
		1	30	0.45	2.09	3.9
		1.5	36.67	0.45	2.76	4.56
	III	0.5	36.67	0.45	2.76	4.56
		1	46.67	0.45	3.76	5.56
		1.5	46.67	0.45	3.76	5.56
	IV	0.5	16.67	0.45	0.76	2.56
		1	23.33	0.45	1.43	3.23
		1.5	36.67	0.45	2.76	4.56
<i>G. carinata</i>	I	0.5	13.33	0.45	0.43	2.23
		1	26.67	0.45	1.76	3.56
		1.5	30	0.45	2.09	3.9

Contd...

Plants	Instars	Concentrations (%)	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower bound	Upper bound
	II	0.5	13.33	0.45	0.43	2.23
		1	20	0.45	1.09	2.9
		1.5	30	0.45	2.09	3.9
	III	0.5	30	0.45	2.09	3.9
		1	26.67	0.45	1.76	3.56
		1.5	33.33	0.45	2.43	4.23
	IV	0.5	6.67	0.45	0.23	1.56
		1	13.33	0.45	0.43	2.23
		1.5	26.67	0.45	1.76	3.56

Table V. Result of qualitative phytochemical analysis of the crude leaf extract of the tested plants

Plants	Result of phytochemical analysis						
	Tannin	Saponin	Steroid	Flavonoid	Terpenoid	Cardiac glycosides	Alkaloid
<i>A. sessilis</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
<i>R. tuberosa</i>	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
<i>T. orientalis</i>	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
<i>G. carinata</i>	-	+	-	-	+	-	-

+, presence; -, absence

analysis of the experimental data was performed using the computer software “STAT PLUS 2007 (Trial version: <http://statplus.en.softonic.com>)” and “MS EXCEL 2007” to find out the (Log probit analysis (at 95% confidence level), regression equations and mean percentage mortality. Comparison of mean percentage mortality, standard error and their upper and lower bound at 95 per cent confidence level are estimated by Tukey and Duncae test.

Results

Highest mortality was seen at 1.5 per cent concentration of crude extract, tested against all larval instar and was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than 0.5 and 1 per cent concentrations at 24, 48 and 72 h of exposure (Table II). The relative efficacy of the plant extracts against target species was as follows: *A. sessilis* > *T. orientalis* > *G. carinata* > *R. tuberosa* leaf extract. The result of regression analysis of crude extract of all the plants showed that the mortality rate (Y) was positively correlated with concentration of exposure (X). The result of log probit analysis (95% confidence level) showed that LC_{50} values gradually decreased with

exposure periods and a lowest value at 72 h exposure to IInd instar followed by IIIrd, IVth and Ist instar larvae (Table III). Comparison of mean percentage mortality of 1st –IVth instars of *Cx. quinquefasciatus* larvae at different test concentration of tested plants, standard error and their upper and lower bound at 95 % confidence level are presented in Table IV. No changes in the survival rate and swimming activity of the non target organisms were observed within 72 h post exposure. The results of preliminary qualitative phytochemical analysis of tested plant revealed the presence of some secondary metabolite that may be responsible for their biocontrol potentiality (Table V).

Discussion

The mosquito borne parasites are continually developing resistance to the available insecticides and at present, there is no vaccine to prevent infections transmitted by mosquitoes. Vector control in the larval condition is the best available option as the larvae are confined to water bodies which are mainly man made and can be easily located. Furthermore, for the protection of environment and other non-

target organisms which share same habitat with the mosquito larvae, plant based insecticides are in demand for mosquito control as synthetic insecticides are non-biodegradable, toxic to environment and also responsible for resistance¹⁹. Testing the plant crude extracts against mosquito can lead to identify potential bioactive compounds that can be used as larvicides to control mosquito. Botanical derivatives have drawn attention as potential insect control agents targeting only larval stages in the mosquito control programme in the last three decades²⁰⁻²².

The findings of the present investigation indicated that 1.5 per cent crude extract of mature leaves of *A. sessilis* showed highest mortality against IInd instar larvae of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. *T. orientalis* showed 53.3 per cent mortality against IIIrd instar, *G. carinata* showed 50 per cent mortality against IIIrd instar and *R. tuberosa* showed 50 per cent mortality in IInd and IIIrd instar larvae after 72 h, respectively. The phytochemical analysis of the plant extracts revealed the presence of several bioactive secondary metabolites that singly or in combinations may be responsible for the larval toxicity. As no mortality occurred in the non-target organisms, it was assumed that tested plant extracts were safe to use in the aquatic ecosystem.

Green synthesis of pesticides of biological origin may serve as suitable alternatives to synthetic or chemical insecticides in future as these are relatively safe, inexpensive, and are readily available in many areas of the world. Plant shows a vast range of phytochemicals which may be used in place of chemical pesticides due to their ecofriendly nature. Further investigations are needed to elucidate this activity against a wide range of mosquito species and also the active ingredient(s) of the extract responsible for the mosquitocidal activity.

References

- Kishore N, Mishra BB, Tiwari VK, Tripathi V. A review on natural products with mosquitocidal potentials. In: *Opportunity, challenge and scope of natural products in medicinal chemistry*. Trivandrum, Kerala: Trans World Publishers, Research Signpost; 2011. p. 223-53.
- Gupta AK. *Reviews on Indian medicinal plants*. New Delhi: Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR); 2004. p. 151-7.
- Anand Kumar BH, Sachidanand YN. Treatment of acne vulgaris with new polyherbal formulations, clarina cream and purim tablets. *Indian J Dermatol* 2001; 46 : 138-41.
- Arambewela LS, Thambugala R, Ratnasooriya WD. Gastroprotective activity of *Ruellia tuberosa* root extract in rats. *J Trop Med Plants* 2003; 4 : 191-4.
- Alam MA, Subhan N, Awal MA, Alam MS, Sardar M, Nahar L, et al. Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties of *Ruellia tuberosa*. *Pharm Biol* 2009; 47 : 209-14.
- Dimo T, Nguiguim FT, Kamtchouing P, Dongo E, Tan PV. Glucose lowering efficacy of the aqueous stem bark extract of *Trema orientalis* (Linn) Blume in normal and streptozotocin diabetic rats. *Pharmazie* 2006; 61 : 233-6.
- Barbera R, Trovato A, Rapisarda A, Ragusa S. Analgesic and antiinflammatory activity in acute and chronic conditions of *Trema guineense* (Schum. et Thonn.) Ficalho and *Trema micrantha* Blume extracts in rodents. *Phytother Res* 1992; 6 : 146-8.
- Sosef MSM, Hong LT, Prawirohatmodjo S, editors. Plant resources of South-East Asia, No. 5(3). In: *Timber trees: lesser-known timbers*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Backhuys Publishers; 1998. p. 251.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Division of Malaria and other Parasitic Diseases. *Manual on practical entomology in malaria*. Part II: *Methods and techniques*. World Health Organization Offset Publication no. 13. Geneva: WHO; 1975.
- Chandra G. *Mosquito*. Calcutta : Sribhumi Publication; 2000. p. 1-102.
- Christophers SR. *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Diptera* vol. IV *Family Culicidae. Tribes Anophelini*. London: Taylor and Francis; 1933. p. 371
- Barraud PJ. *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Diptera*, vol. V. *Family Culicidae. Tribes Megarhinini and Culicini*. London: Taylor and Francis; 1934. p. 463.
- World Health Organization (WHO). *Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of mosquito larvae to insecticides*. WHO/VBC/81.807. Geneva: WHO; 1981.
- Harborne JB. *Phytochemical methods. A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis*. London: Chapman and Hall; 1984. p. 49-188.
- Trease GE, Evans WC. *Pharmacognosy: a physicians guide to herbal medicine*, 13th ed. London: Bailliere Tindal; 1989.
- Sofowora LA. *Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Books; 1993. p. 55- 71.
- Suwannee P, Amara N, Maleeya K, Usavadee T. Evaluations of larvicidal activity of medicinal plant extracts to *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) and other effects on a non target fish. *Insect Sci* 2006; 13 : 179-88.
- Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J Econ Entomol* 1925; 18 : 265-7.
- Chauhan RS, Singhal L. Harmful effects of pesticides and their control through cowpathy. *Int J Cow Sci* 2006; 2 : 61-70.
- Sukumar K, Perich MJ, Boobar LR. Botanical derivatives in mosquito control: a review. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* 1991; 7 : 210-37.
- Shaaln EA, Canyon D, Younes MW, Abdel-Wahab H, Mansour AH. A review of botanical phytochemicals with mosquitocidal potential. *Environ Int* 2005; 31 : 1149-66.
- Ghosh A, Chowdhury N, Chandra G. Plant extracts as potential mosquito larvicides. *Indian J Med Res* 2012; 135 : 581-98.

Reprint requests: Dr Goutam Chandra, Professor, Department of Zoology, Mosquito & Microbiology Research Units Parasitology Laboratory, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan 713 104, India
e-mail: goutamchandra63@yahoo.co.in