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Foreword

Shri Prasanna Hota
Secretary
Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
Government of India

Infertility, though not life threatening, can cause intense agony and trauma to the infertile couples. No data on the extent of infertility prevalent in India is available; but the multinational study carried out by WHO (Diagnosis and Treatment of Infertility, ed. P. Rowe and E.N. Vikhlyaeva, 1988) that included India, places the incidence of infertility between 10 and 15%. Out of the population of 1020 million Indians, an estimated 25% (about 250 million individuals) may be conservatively estimated to be attempting parenthood at any given time. By extrapolating the WHO estimates, approximately 13 to 19 million couples are likely to be infertile in the country at any given time. These couples approach ART Clinics.

The increasing demand for ART has resulted in mushrooming of infertility clinics in India. The Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) in India is being provided by private sector only. Many of these technologies require enormous technical expertise and infrastructure. However, the success rate is below 30% under the best of circumstances. Moreover, it taxes the couple’s endurance physically, emotionally and monetarily. Many of these clinics do not have adequate trained manpower and infrastructure facilities to deliver these highly sophisticated technologies and even services provided by some of these clinics are highly questionable. In some cases, the infertile couple are being cheated by providing relatively simple procedure and charged for complicated and expensive procedures. The procedures, wherein Round Spermatid Nuclear Injection and Pre-implantation
Genetic Diagnosis in gender selection of the embryo are used, have not been universally accepted. These issues are of great concern to the society.

In order to regulate and supervise the ART clinics, the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) and National Academy of Medical Sciences (NAMS) have come out with National Guidelines for Accreditation, Supervision and Regulation of ART Clinics in India. These Guidelines have been evolved after detailed discussion and debate by experts, practitioners of ART and public.

I take immense pleasure in presenting these Guidelines, which I strongly feel, would be very useful in regulating and supervising the functioning of ART Clinics and would be helping the ART Clinics in providing safe and ethical services to the needy infertile couples. I also place on record our appreciation of the efforts of the experts of ICMR & NAMS in bringing out these Guidelines.

(Prasanna Hota)
Secretary
Ministry of Health and Family Welfare
Government of India
New Delhi-110011
Preface

The successful birth of the world’s first baby conceived by *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) and embryo transfer occurred on July 25, 1978, in the UK. The world’s second IVF baby was born 67 days later on October 3, 1978 in Kolkata. India’s first scientifically documented IVF baby was, however, born on August 6, 1986 in Mumbai through the support of the Indian Council of Medical Research. Since then, over one and half million babies conceived by Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART) have reportedly been born throughout the world.

The advent of any new technology that affects mankind raises several technical and moral dilemmas and poses many ethical and technical challenges. ART is no exception. In the Indian context where barrenness is looked down upon, infertile patients look up to ART as the last resort to parenthood. Some of them are prepared to go to any extent to achieve their life’s ambition. Unfortunately, ART has not reached a stage where all forms of infertility can be treated, nor can any clinic offer a 100% success if the couples were to undergo any of the assisted reproductive technologies. The ART practitioner is often faced with a technical challenge of trying to select the right treatment for a particular type of infertility, knowing fully well that none of the available techniques offer 100% success. The practitioner also faces moral responsibility of trying to convince the infertile couple of this fact and let them know the chances of success and failure by the particular treatment that is being offered.

Prof. N. K. Ganguly
Director General
Indian Council of Medical Research
The increasing demand for ART has resulted in mushrooming of infertility clinics in India. There is no reliable information on the number of ART clinics in India in the absence of a national registry of ART clinics. There is no information on the follow-up of babies born after the use of ART to know the incidence of congenital malformation in them. There have been reports in the press of malpractices carried out by some ART clinics.

Such malpractices are not unique to India but are a global phenomenon. Many countries have taken steps to prevent such aberrant occurrences. Austria, Australia, Brazil, Canada, the Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, Norway, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan and Turkey have legislations for the practice of ART. Scientific societies in Finland, Poland, Portugal and the USA have drawn up guidelines for the practices of ART. Argentina, Egypt and the UK have both guidelines and legislation. Guidelines and/or legislation in these countries have been shown to improve the process of patient care and procedure outcomes.

There are no guidelines for the practice of ART, accreditation of infertility clinics and supervision of their performance in India. This document aims to fill this lacuna and also provide a means of maintaining a national registry of ART clinics in India. The document has been widely publicized, discussed and debated by expert groups of the ICMR and the National Academy of Medical Sciences and then by practitioners of ART and the public in Chennai, Jodhpur, Kolkata, Bangalore, Hyderabad and Mumbai. These discussions involved over 4000 participants including doctors, scientists, bureaucrats, legal experts, infertile couples and the general public. This document was also put on the Council’s website and elicited many comments and responses.

All attempts have been diligently made to encompass all points of view and bring out a document that conveys the views of the vast majority of participants in the above mentioned discussions and debates.

This document should be useful to the infertility clinics as well as to those who seek the services of such clinics. However, as ART is an evolving field, this
document will need to be periodically reviewed. This will be a challenging task both for the practitioners of ART and the regulatory authority that is yet to be established.

(Prof. N. K. Ganguly)
Director General
Indian Council of Medical Research
New Delhi-110029
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Abbreviations

AIDS - Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
ASRM - American Society for Reproductive Medicine
AI - Artificial Insemination
AID - Artificial Insemination with Donor Semen
AIH - Artificial Insemination with Husband’s Semen
ART - Assisted Reproductive Technology
BBT - Basal Body Temperature
CO₂ - Carbon Dioxide
CC - Clomiphene Citrate
CASA - Computer-Aided Sperm Analysis
CBAVD - Congenital Bilateral Absence of Vas Deferens
CMV - Cytomegalo Virus
DHEA - Dehydro-epiandrosterone
DNA - Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DMSO - Dimethylsulfoxide
ED - Embryo Donation
ELSNI - Elongated Spermatid Nuclear injection
ESHRE - European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology
FISH - Fluorescent in situ Hybridization
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSH</td>
<td>Follicle Stimulating Hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIFT</td>
<td>Gamete Intrafallopian Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GnRH</td>
<td>Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>Good Laboratory Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>Hepatitis B Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>Hepatitis C Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hCG</td>
<td>Human Chorionic Gonadotropin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hMG</td>
<td>Human Menopausal Gonadotropin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOST</td>
<td>Hypo-Osmotic Swelling Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICMR</td>
<td>Indian Council of Medical Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICPD</td>
<td>International Conference for Population and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFFS</td>
<td>International Federation of Fertility Societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSI</td>
<td>Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUI</td>
<td>Intra-uterine Insemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRR</td>
<td>Institute for Research in Reproduction, (now National Institute for Research in Reproductive Health, NIRRH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVF-ET</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> Fertilization–Embryo Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVMTS</td>
<td><em>In vitro</em> Maturation of Testicular Sperm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH</td>
<td>Luteinizing Hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Oocyte Donation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Operation Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OHS</td>
<td>Ovarian Hyperstimulation Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PESA</td>
<td>Percutaneous Epididymal Sperm Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGD</td>
<td>Pre-implantation Genetic Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCOS</td>
<td>Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>Ribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCMPT</td>
<td>Sperm Cervical Mucous Penetration Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Standard Operating Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESA</td>
<td>Testicular Sperm Aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESE</td>
<td>Testicular Sperm Extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>Thyroid Stimulating Hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVS</td>
<td>Transvaginal Sonography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>Uninterrupted Power Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMA</td>
<td>World Medical Assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>